

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/682,679   | 10/04/2001      | Scott Coonrod        | 03DV-7112               | 8970             |
| 23465  | 7590 03/25/2003 |                      |                         |                  |
| JOHN S. BEULICK  |                 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP<br>ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE<br>SUITE 2600 |                 |                      | LE, DANG D              |                  |
| ST LOUIS, MO 63102-2740  |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                 |                      | 2834                    |                  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.                 | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| x   | 09/682,679                      | COONROD, SCOTT   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner                        | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Dang D Le                       | 2834   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 D</u>  | <u> December 2002</u> .         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 October 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 120</li> </ol>  | 5) Notice of In                 | ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/682,679

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in Paper dated 12/30/02 is acknowledged. The examiner agrees to withdraw the restriction requirement.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 3. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-7 and 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1 and 16, it is not clear how the "anchor extending radially outward from the inner face". Should not "extending radially" be changed to – extending axially --?

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuhlmann.

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claims 1 and 16, Kuhlmann shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-4, 7-11, 13, 15-19, 21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peachee in view of Ptak.

Regarding claims 1 and 8, Peachee shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the anchor and the fastener.

Ptak uses the anchor and the fastener for the purpose of mounting two components together.

Since Peachee and Ptak are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the anchor and the fastener as taught by Ptak for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claims 2-4, 7, 9-11, 13, and 15, it is noted that Peachee and Ptak also show all of the limitations of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,679

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claims 16-19, 21, and 23, the claimed method would be inherent and obvious since the prior art references meet the structural limitations of the claimed device.

9. Claims 5, 12, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peachee in view of Ptak as respectively applied to claims 4, 11, and 19 above, and further in view of Mizusawa.

Regarding claims 5, 12 and 20, the assembly of Peachee modified by Ptak shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the anchor and the fastener having threads.

Mizusawa shows the anchor and the fastener having threads for the purpose of mounting two components together.

Since Peachee, Ptak, and Mizusawa are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the anchor and the fastener with threads as taught by Mizusawa for the purpose discussed above.

10. Claims 6, 14, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peachee in view of Ptak as respectively applied to claims 1, 8, and 16 above, and further in view of Wrobel.

Regarding claims 6, 14 and 22, the assembly of Peachee modified by Ptak shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the anchor made of metal.

Art Unit: 2834

Wrobel shows the anchor made of metal for the purpose of mounting two components together.

Since Peachee, Ptak, and Wrobel are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the anchor made of metal as taught by Wrobel for the purpose discussed above.

### Information on How to Contact USPTO

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-0156. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

March 21, 2003